

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8450 of 2007

23.04.2007

Between:

Pakala Satyanarayana.

... Petitioner

AND

The Government of A.P., rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Endowments Department, Hyderabad, and others.

... Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.8450 of 2007

ORDER:

The petitioner is resident of Annojiguda, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. He alleges that he has donated a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- by way of cheque dated 08.09.2006 drawn on Canara Bank, Uppal, Hyderabad, to third respondent, namely, the Executive Officer, Tirupatammavari Temple, Penuganchiprolu Village and Mandal, Krishna District, for Shashwata Nithya Annadanam Scheme. He alleges that third respondent treated the amount as if it was given to Hundi. The petitioner then requested for return of the amount, in vain. The petitioner approached first respondent by making a representation on 22.02.2007 and first respondent allegedly informed the petitioner that the amount donated by him may be transferred to Sri Narasimha Swamy temple, Yadagirigutta, for being used for such Scheme in the said temple. It is alleged that first respondent, however, did not take any action for transfer of the amount to Yadagirigutta temple. In this writ petition, the petitioner, therefore, seeks return of the amount with interest at 18% p.a.

The petitioner has annexed representation dated 22.02.2007 that he gave to first respondent and the letter dated 08.09.2006 addressed to third respondent. Though he states in the representation that he donated Rs.1,00,000/- to Thirupathammavari temple for Shashwata Nithya Annadanam Scheme, no material is placed before this Court whether any such Scheme is being implemented by third respondent or not. Secondly, he himself admits that having come to know that there is no such Scheme in the temple, he asked for return of money. When admittedly first respondent informed that the amount would be transferred to Yadagirigutta temple, this Court fails to understand as to how the petitioner can maintain the writ petition seeking refund of the amount. When once the funds are donated to the temple or the Endowments Department, it is for the temple to take necessary action in the matter.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

23rd April, 2007
ghn